

Objectives:

1. investigate various approaches to efficiently **maintain** libraries of formal proofs
2. to make a collection of proofs that can be **modified, extended, and queried** . . .
3. . . .by users who **do not have expert knowledge of the entire collection nor of the system** that was used to develop the proofs.

Tasks:

1. discuss challenges of **maintaining and using** existing libraries of formal proofs;
2. contribute to creating **database** of already formalised mathematics;
3. develop the **tool for querying** libraries of formal proofs with respect to the semantic of search object;
4. that the tool can be efficiently **used** with Dedukti and within software formalisation efforts.

Deliverables:

1. (month 12): **Database** gathering **proofs** from Coq, HOL-Light and Matita and **their translations**.
2. (month 24): Tools for managing the **dependencies** between proofs, and **querying** and **searching** the database.
3. (month 48): Extension of the database and associated tools to **other systems** like Agda, Minlog, PVS, Lean, Mizar, Atelier B, TLAPS.

Challenges (brainstorming):

- ▶ Library **exporting and dependencies**:
 - ▶ **centralized** approach (e.g. AFP) vs **decentralized** (e.g. opam)
 - ▶ what will Dedukti have? how will it manage **dependencies**?
 - ▶ how to **trigger automatic translation to/from** Dedukti?
 - ▶ **when** to translate between systems?

Challenges (brainstorming):

- ▶ Library **reuse**:
 - ▶ type t in system A is not translated to type t in system B
 - ▶ how to declare/generate/store **alignments**?
 - ▶ how to **transfer** between $A.t$ in B and $B.t$?
 - ▶ information how to use things is lost
 - ▶ **type-classes/instances, automatically inferred arguments, coercions, canonical structures, functors, NOTATIONS, ...**
 - ▶ how to **declare** and **translate** them?

Challenges (brainstorming):

- ▶ Library **indexing and querying**:
 - ▶ **adapt existing tools** for indexing and querying up to **instantiation/generalization/approximation**
 - ▶ how to **elaborate queries** (and results)? (e.g. a query written in Coq)
 - ▶ requires **alignments** as well

Challenges (brainstorming):

- ▶ **Proof mining:**
 - ▶ identify proofs in **logical fragments** (e.g. to allow more translations)
 - ▶ **bring proofs** in a logical fragment
 - ▶ devise **new/improved translations** between logics/systems