Transports

From rewrite rules to axioms

Thomas Traversié

Problem

• Theory considered: theories encoded in the Calculus of constructions with $\mathcal{T} = (\Sigma_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \Sigma_{CoC}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \mathcal{R}_{CoC})$

Set : TYPE
$$o: Set \quad El : Set \to TYPE \quad Prf : El \ o \to TYPE$$

 $El \ (x \rightsquigarrow_d y) \hookrightarrow \Pi z : El \ x. \ El \ (y \ z)$
 $Prf \ (x \Rightarrow_d y) \hookrightarrow \Pi z : Prf \ x. \ Prf \ (y \ z)$
 $El \ (\pi \ x \ y) \hookrightarrow \Pi z : Prf \ x. \ El \ (y \ z)$
 $Prf \ (\forall x \ y) \hookrightarrow \Pi z : El \ x. \ Prf \ (y \ z)$

Goal: Replace the rewrite rules $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}$ by axioms

- Replace rewrite rule $\ell \hookrightarrow r$ by equality $\ell = r$
- For every $A \equiv_{\beta \mathcal{R}} B$, build an equality A = B
- Given $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash p : A = B$, build a transport $\Gamma \vdash$ transp $p \ t : B$
- Replace each use of the Conversion rule by the insertion of a transport
- \Rightarrow Translating the terms = inserting transports

• We cannot build an equality = between types because = : TYPE \rightarrow TYPE \rightarrow TYPE is forbidden

Restriction

- Prf a = Prf b does not exist but a = b does
- Πx : Set. El $a \rightarrow Set = \Pi x$: Set. El $b \rightarrow Set$ does not exist but Πx : Set. (a = b) does

• $\Pi x : El a_1$. $El a_2 = \Pi x : El b_1$. $El b_2$ does not exist but $(a_1 \rightsquigarrow_d (\lambda x : El a_1 . a_2)) = (b_1 \rightsquigarrow_d (\lambda x : El b_1 . b_2))$ does

Grammars

$$S ::= Set \mid S \to Set \mid Set \to S$$
$$\mathcal{P} ::= Prf \ a \mid \mathcal{P} \to S \mid \Pi z : S. \mathcal{P}$$
$$\mathcal{E} ::= El \ b \mid \mathcal{E} \to S \mid \Pi z : S. \mathcal{E}$$

• A is a small type when $A \equiv_{\beta \mathcal{R}_{CoC}} A'$ with $A' \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{E}$

If t has type A with A and B small types such that we have a small equality p between A and B, then we can build transp p t of type B

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \qquad (\Gamma \vdash A : s) \equiv (\Gamma \vdash B : s)}{\Gamma \vdash t : B} [\text{CONV}]$$
$$\frac{(\Gamma_1 \vdash t_1 : \Pi x : A_1. B_1) \equiv (\Gamma_2 \vdash t_2 : \Pi x : A_2. B_2)}{(\Gamma_1 \vdash u_1 : A_1) \equiv (\Gamma_2 \vdash u_2 : A_2)}$$
$$\frac{(\Gamma_1 \vdash t_1 u_1 : B_1[x \mapsto u_1]) \equiv (\Gamma_2 \vdash t_2 u_2 : B_2[x \mapsto u_2])}{(\Gamma_1 \vdash t_1 u_1 : B_1[x \mapsto u_1]) \equiv (\Gamma_2 \vdash t_2 u_2 : B_2[x \mapsto u_2])} [\text{CONVAPP}]$$

- Replacement of the user-defined rewrite rules by axioms
- Restriction to theories encoded in the Calculus of constructions with small types
- Lot of technical details, but the principle remains more or less the same than in Théo's work