Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L³_{Ar} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L³_A

Rendering Natural Language of Mathematical Texts into Formal Language

Roussanka Loukanova

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics (IMI) Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Sofia, Bulgaria

NatFoM: Workshop on Natural Formal Mathematics, Cambridge, 6 September 14:00–18:00

Joint WG4-WG5 meeting, Cambridge, UK, 6-8 September 2023 https://europroofnet.github.io/cambridge-2023

Outline

- A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar
 Overview of Approaches to Computational Semantics
- ② Syntax and Denotational Semantics of $\mathrm{L}^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ar}}$
 - Syntax of L_{ar}^{λ}
 - \bullet Denotational Semantics of L^{λ}_{ar} / L^{λ}_{rar}
- 8 Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica
 - γ*-Reduction
 - Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics
 - Algorithmic Equivalence
 - Expressiveness of $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ar}}$ / $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda}_{r}$
- Parametric Algorithmic Patterns
 - Pure Quantifiers
 - Generalised Quantifiers, Algorithmic Patterns, Ambiguity, Underspecification
 - Definite Descriptors with Determiner "the"
 - Conjuncts and Coordination
- ${f 5}$ Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via ${
 m L}^{\lambda}_{
 m ar}$

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L¹_{AT} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L¹_{AT}

Overview of Approaches to Computational Semantics

Approaches to formal and computational syntax of natural language (NL)

All of the following approaches are at least partly active CFGs, Phrase Structure Grammars (PSG): initiated by Chomsky 1950s Transformational Grammars: initiated by Chomsky 1955, 1957, with versions to the present

Generative Semantics: 1967-74 Lakoff, McCawley, Postal, Ross Government and Binding Theory (GBT): initiated by Chomsky 1981 Principles and Parameters initiated by Chomsky 1981 with GBT Minimalist Program initiated by Chomsky 1995 (major work) Constraint-Based, Lexicalist Approaches

- GPSG: Gazdar et al. 1979-87 to the present
- *LFG:* 1979 to the present
- HPSG: 1984 to the present

Categorial Grammars Ajdukiewicz 1935 to the present Dependency Grammar (DG): active Grammatical Framework (GF) Multi-Lingual, Chalmers, 1998, Aarne Ranta (25 years on, in Mar 2023) (open development)

- Categorial Grammars: Ajdukiewicz 1935 formal logic for syntax for NL to the present, with initiations for syntax-semantics
- Type-Theoretical Grammars in many varieties
- Montague Grammars: started by Montague 1970 to the present
- Situation Theory and Situation Semantics, Jon Barwise 1980ies Inspired partiality in computational syntax of LFG and HPSG; Since start HPSG approaches, 1984, have been using Situation Semantics in syntax-semantics interfaces;
- *Minimal Recursion Semantics* in HPSG since 2000-2002 MRS is a technique as a form of Situation Semantics with major characteristics of Moschovakis recursion
- Moschovakis [12] Formal Language of full recursion, untyped; Typed acyclic recursion, introduced by Moschovakis [13] (2006)
- Algorithmic Dependent-Type Theory of Situated Information (DTTSitInfo): situated data including context assessments (open)
- Other Approaches to Computational Semantics many combinations and variants of FOL, e.g., Prolog, Definite Clause Grammars, etc.



A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Later Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Later

Overview of Approaches to Computational Semantics

Development of Type-Theory of (Acyclic) Algorithms, L_r^{λ} (L_{ar}^{λ})

Placement of $L^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ar}}$ in a class of type theories

Montague IL \subsetneq Gallin TY₂ \subsetneq Moschovakis $\mathbf{L}_{ar}^{\lambda} \subsetneq$ Moschovakis \mathbf{L}_{r}^{λ} (4)

- Type-Theory of (Acyclic) Algorithms, L_r^{λ} (L_{ar}^{λ}): provides:
 - a math notion of algorithm
 - Computational Semantics of formal and natural languages
- L_{ar}^{λ} / L_{r}^{λ} is type theory of algorithms with acyclic / full recursion:
 - Introduced by Moschovakis [13] (2006),
 - Math development by motivations from NL, Loukanova [8, 9] (2019) and previously
- In the works presented here, I extend $\mathrm{L}^\lambda_{\mathrm{ar}} \ / \ \mathrm{L}^\lambda_r$ by incorporating
 - logic operators, by logic constants of suitable types
 - pure, logic quantifiers
 - extended reduction calculus of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ar}}^{\lambda}$ / L_{r}^{λ}
 - demonstrate (there is a math proof) that ${\rm L}_{\rm ar}^\lambda$ / ${\rm L}_r^\lambda$ essentially extend classic $\lambda\text{-calculus},$

incl., for logic operators and pure quantifiers

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lar

Syntax of L_{ar}^{λ} Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} / L_{rar}^{λ}

Syntax of Type Theory of Algorithms (TTA): Types, Vocabulary

• Gallin Types (1975)

$$\tau ::= \mathbf{e} \mid \mathbf{t} \mid \mathbf{s} \mid (\tau \to \tau) \tag{Types}$$

Abbreviations

$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \equiv (\mathbf{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}),$	for state-dependent objects of type $\widetilde{\sigma}$	(5a)
$\widetilde{e}\equiv (s\rightarrow e),$	for state-dependent entities	(5b)
$\widetilde{t}\equiv (s\rightarrow t),$	for state-dependent truth values	(5c)

• Typed Vocabulary, for all $\sigma \in$ Types

$$K_{\sigma} = \mathsf{Consts}_{\sigma} = \{\mathsf{c}_{0}^{\sigma}, \mathsf{c}_{1}^{\sigma}, \dots\}$$
(6a)

 $\land,\lor,\rightarrow \in \mathsf{Consts}_{(\tau \to (\tau \to \tau))}, \ \tau \in \{t,\widetilde{t}\} \ \text{(logical constants)} \ \text{(6b)}$

 $\neg \in \text{Consts}_{(\tau \to \tau)}, \ \tau \in \{t, \tilde{t}\} \text{ (logical constant for negation) (6c)}$ PureV_{\sigma} = {v_0^\sigma, v_1^\sigma, \ldots } (6d)

 $\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{RecV}_{\sigma} = \mathsf{MemoryV}_{\sigma} = \{p_0^{\sigma}, p_1^{\sigma}, \dots\} & (\mathsf{recursion variables}) & (\mathsf{6e}) \\ &\mathsf{PureV}_{\sigma} \cap \mathsf{RecV}_{\sigma} = \varnothing, & \mathsf{Vars}_{\sigma} = \mathsf{PureV}_{\sigma} \cup \mathsf{RecV}_{\sigma} & (\mathsf{6f}) \end{aligned}$

Terms of Type Theory of Algorithms (TTA): L_{ar}^{λ} acyclic recursion (L_{r}^{λ} full recursion)

$$\mathsf{A} \coloneqq \mathsf{c}^{\sigma} : \sigma \mid X^{\sigma} : \sigma \mid \mathsf{B}^{(\sigma \to \tau)}(\mathsf{C}^{\sigma}) : \tau \mid \lambda(v^{\sigma})(\mathsf{B}^{\tau}) : (\sigma \to \tau)$$
(7a)

$$| A_0^{\sigma_0} \text{ where } \{ p_1^{\sigma_1} := A_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, p_n^{\sigma_n} := A_n^{\sigma_n} \} : \sigma_0$$
(7b)

$$| \wedge (A_{2}^{\tau})(A_{1}^{\tau}) : \tau | \vee (A_{2}^{\tau})(A_{1}^{\tau}) : \tau | \rightarrow (A_{2}^{\tau})(A_{1}^{\tau}) : \tau$$

$$| \neg (B^{\tau}) : \tau$$
(7c)
(7d)

$$| \forall (v^{\sigma})(B^{\tau}) : \tau \mid \exists (v^{\sigma})(B^{\tau}) : \tau$$
 (pure quantifiers) (7e)

 $|A_0^{\sigma_0} \text{ such that } \{C_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, C_m^{\tau_m}\} : \sigma'_0 \qquad \text{(restrictor operator)} \quad \text{(7f)}$

- $c^{\tau} \in \text{Consts}_{\tau}, X^{\tau} \in \text{PureV}_{\tau} \cup \text{RecV}_{\tau}, v^{\sigma} \in \text{PureV}_{\sigma}$
- B, C \in Terms, $p_i^{\sigma_i} \in \text{RecV}_{\sigma_i}$, $A_i^{\sigma_i} \in \text{Terms}_{\sigma_i}$, $C_j^{\tau_j} \in \text{Terms}_{\tau_j}$
- In (7c)–(7e), (7f): $\tau, \tau_j \in \{t, \tilde{t}\}, \ \tilde{t} \equiv (s \rightarrow t)$ (for propositions)

• Acyclicity Constraint (AC), for L_{ar}^{λ} ; without it, L_{r}^{λ} with full recursion

$$\{ p_1^{\sigma_1} := A_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, p_n^{\sigma_n} := A_n^{\sigma_n} \} \quad (n \ge 0) \text{ is acyclic iff}$$
 (8a) for some function rank: $\{ p_1, \dots, p_n \} \to \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if} & p_j \in \mathsf{FreeV}(A_i) \; (p_j \; \text{occurs freely in} \; A_i), \\ \text{then} & \mathsf{rank}(p_i) > \mathsf{rank}(p_j) \end{array}$$

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L_{Ar}^{λ} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L_{Ar}^{λ}

Syntax of L_{ar}^{λ} Denotational Semantics of $L_{ar}^{\lambda} / L_{rar}^{\lambda}$

Types of Restrictor Terms

In the restrictor term (7f) / (9),

$$A_0^{\sigma_0} \text{ such that } \{C_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, C_n^{\tau_n}\} : \sigma_0' \tag{9}$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$:

• $\tau_i \equiv t$ (state independent truth values), or

• $\tau_i \equiv \widetilde{t} \equiv (s \rightarrow t)$ (state dependent truth values)

$$\sigma'_{0} \equiv \begin{cases} \sigma_{0}, & \text{if } \tau_{i} \equiv t, \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \sigma_{0} \equiv (\mathbf{s} \to \sigma), & \text{if } \tau_{i} \equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}, \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{ and } (10\mathbf{b}) \\ & \text{for some } \sigma \in \text{Types, } \sigma_{0} \equiv (\mathbf{s} \to \sigma) \\ \widetilde{\sigma_{0}} \equiv (\mathbf{s} \to \sigma_{0}), & \text{if } \tau_{i} \equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}, \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{ and } (10\mathbf{c}) \\ & \text{there is no } \sigma, \text{ s.th. } \sigma_{0} \equiv (\mathbf{s} \to \sigma) \end{cases}$$

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lar

Syntax of L_{ar}^{λ} Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} / L_{rar}^{λ}

Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} / L_{rar}^{λ}

A standard semantic structure is a tuple $\mathfrak{A}(Consts) = \langle \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathbb{T} = \{\mathbb{T}_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \mathsf{Types}\}$ is a frame of typed objects $\{0, 1, er\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{\mathsf{t}} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{\mathsf{e}} \quad (er_{\mathsf{t}} \equiv er_{\mathsf{e}} \equiv er \equiv error)$ $\mathbb{T}_{\mathsf{s}} \neq \varnothing$ (the domain of *states*) $\mathbb{T}_{(\tau_1 \to \tau_2)} = (\mathbb{T}_{\tau_1} \to \mathbb{T}_{\tau_2}) = \{f \mid f : \mathbb{T}_{\tau_1} \to \mathbb{T}_{\tau_2}\}$ (standard str.) $er_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}$, for every $\sigma \in \mathsf{Types}$ (designated typed errors)
- \mathcal{I} : Consts $\longrightarrow \cup \mathbb{T}$ is a typed *interpretation function*: $\mathcal{I}(c) \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}$, for every $c \in Consts_{\sigma}$
- \mathfrak{A} is associated with the set of the typed variable valuations G:

$$G = \{g \mid g \colon \mathsf{PureV} \cup \mathsf{RecV} \longrightarrow \bigcup \mathbb{T}$$

and, for every $X \in \mathsf{Vars}_{\sigma}, \quad g(X) \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}\}$ (11)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lar

Syntax of L_{ar}^{λ} Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} / L_{rar}^{λ}

The Denotation Function of $L_{\rm ar}^{\lambda}$ / $L_{\rm ar}^{\lambda}$

(to be continued)

- Let's assume a given semantic structure \mathfrak{A} , and write den \equiv den $^{\mathfrak{A}}$
- There is a unique function, called the *denotation function*: $den^{\mathfrak{A}} \colon \operatorname{Terms} \longrightarrow \{ f \mid f \colon G \longrightarrow \cup \mathbb{T} \}$ defined by recursion on the structure of the terms

(D1) (D) den
$$(X)(g) = g(x)$$
, for every $X \in Vars$
(D) den $(c)(g) = \mathcal{I}(c)$, for every $c \in Consts$

(D2) den(A(B))(g) = den(A)(g)(den(B)(g))

(D3) den $(\lambda x(B))(g)(a) = den(B)(g\{x := a\})$, for every $a \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau}$

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns, Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via LAr

Syntax of L_{ar}^{λ} Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} / L_{rar}^{λ}

The Denotation of the Recursion Terms (continuation)

(to be continued)

(D4) den
$$(A_0$$
 where $\{p_1 := A_1, \dots, p_n := A_n\})(g) =$
den $(A_0)(g\{p_1 := \overline{p}_1, \dots, p_n := \overline{p}_n\})$

where $\overline{p}_i \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau_i}$ are defined by recursion on rank (p_i) :

 $\overline{p_i} = \mathsf{den}(A_i)(g\{p_{k_1} := \overline{p}_{k_1}, \dots, p_{k_m} := \overline{p}_{k_m}\})$

given that p_{k_1}, \ldots, p_{k_m} are all of the recursion variables $p_j \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$, s.t. $\operatorname{rank}(p_j) < \operatorname{rank}(p_i)$.

Intuitively:

- den $(A_1)(g), \ldots, den(A_n)(g)$ are computed recursively, by rank (p_i) , and stored in p_i , $1 \le i \le n$
- the denotation den $(A_0)(g)$ may depend on the values stored in p_1, \ldots, p_n

(D5) (for the constants of the logic operators) ...

Outline Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Denotational Semantics of $L_{ar}^{\lambda} / L_{rar}^{\lambda}$ (to be continued)

The Denotation of the Logic-Quantifiers Terms (continuation)

(D6b) Simplified version, without considering the erroneous cases of er

The denotation of the state-dependent, pure existential quantifier. for $\tau = \tilde{t}$, den^{\mathfrak{A}} $(\exists (v^{\sigma})(B^{\tau}))(g) \colon \mathbb{T}_{s} \to \mathbb{T}_{t}$ is such that:

for every state
$$s \in \mathbb{T}_s$$
: (12a)

$$\left[\operatorname{den}^{\mathfrak{A}}\left(\exists (v^{\sigma})(B^{\tau})\right)(g)\right](s) = 1 \text{ (true in } s) \tag{12b}$$

iff there is $a \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}$, in the semantic domain \mathbb{T}_{σ} , such that:

$$\left[\operatorname{den}^{\mathfrak{A}}\left(B^{\tau}\right)\left(g\left\{v:=a\right\}\right)\right](s)=1$$
(12c)

(10)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L_{br}^{λ}

The Denotation Function for the Restrictor Terms (continuation) (to be continued)

(D7) For every $g \in G$, and every state $s \in \mathbb{T}_s$: Case 1: for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, $C_i \in \text{Terms}_t$ (independent on states) For every $g \in G$:

$$\operatorname{den}\left(A_{0}^{\sigma_{0}} \text{ s.t. } \left\{ \overrightarrow{C} \right\}\right)(g) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{den}(A_{0})(g), & \text{if, for all } i \in \left\{1, \dots, n\right\}, \\ \operatorname{den}(C_{i})(g) = 1 \\ er_{\sigma_{0}} & \text{if, for some } i \in \left\{1, \dots, n\right\}, \\ \operatorname{den}(C_{i})(g) = 0 \text{ or} \\ \operatorname{den}(C_{i})(g) = er \end{cases}$$

$$(13)$$

Case 2: for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $C_i : \tilde{t}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Outline} \\ \text{A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar} \\ \text{Syntax and Denotational Semantics of } L_{\text{AT}}^{\lambda} \\ \text{Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica} \\ \text{Parametric Algorithmic Patterns} \\ \text{Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via } L_{\text{AT}}^{\lambda} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \gamma*\text{-Reduction} \\ \text{Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics} \\ \text{Algorithmic Equivalence} \\ \text{Expressiveness of } L_{\text{AT}}^{\lambda} / L_{T}^{\lambda} \\ \end{array}$

- $A \in \text{Terms}$ is explicit iff the operator where does not occur in A
- $A \in$ Terms is a λ -calculus term iff it is explicit and no recursion variable occurs in it

Definition (Immediate and Proper Terms)

• The set ImT of immediate terms is defined by recursion (15)

$$T :\equiv V \mid p(v_1) \dots (v_m) \mid \lambda(u_1) \dots \lambda(u_n) p(v_1) \dots (v_m)$$
(15)

for
$$V \in Vars$$
, $p \in RecV$, $u_i, v_j, \in PureV$,
 $i = 1, ..., n$, $j = 1, ..., m$ ($m, n \ge 0$)

• Every $A \in$ Terms that is not immediate is proper

$$PrT = (Terms - ImT)$$
(16)

Immediate terms do not carry algorithmic sense:

 $den(p(v_1)...(v_m))$ is by variable valuation, in memory $p \in RecV$.

Outline Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns

Definition (Congruence Relation, informally)

The congruence relation is the smallest equivalence relation (i.e., reflexive, symmetric, transitive) between L_{ar}^{λ} -terms, $A \equiv_{c} B$, that is closed under:

- operators of term-formation:
 - application
 - λ -abstraction
 - logic operators
 - pure, logic quantifiers
 - acyclic recursion
 - restriction
- Irenaming bound variables (pure and recursion), without causing variable collisions
- re-ordering of the assignments within the acyclic sequences of assignments in the recursion terms
- re-ordering of the restriction sub-terms in the restriction terms

[Congruence] If $A \equiv_c B$, then $A \Rightarrow B$ (cong)

[Transitivity] If $A \Rightarrow B$ and $B \Rightarrow C$, then $A \Rightarrow C$ (trans) [Compositionality]

- If $A \Rightarrow A'$ and $B \Rightarrow B'$, then $A(B) \Rightarrow A'(B')$ (ap-comp)
- If $A \Rightarrow B$, and $\xi \in \{\lambda, \exists, \forall\}$, then $\xi(u)(A) \Rightarrow \xi(u)(B)$ (lq-comp)

• If
$$A_i \Rightarrow B_i$$
 $(i = 0, ..., n)$, then
 A_0 where $\{ p_1 := A_1, \dots, p_n := A_n \}$ (wh-comp)
 $\Rightarrow B_0$ where $\{ p_1 := B_1, \dots, p_n := B_n \}$

• If $A_0 \Rightarrow B_0$ and $C_i \Rightarrow R_i$ (i = 0, ..., n), then

 $A_0 \text{ such that } \{ C_1, \dots, C_n \}$ (st-comp) $\Rightarrow B_0 \text{ such that } \{ R_1, \dots, R_n \}$ Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^{Ar} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^{Ar}_A

 γ *-Reduction Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics Algorithmic Equivalence Expressiveness of L_{ar}^{\lambda} / L_{r}^{\lambda}

Reduction Rules

(to be continued)

[Head Rule] Given that $p_i \neq q_j$ and no p_i occurs freely in any B_j ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{p} := \overrightarrow{A} \} \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{q} := \overrightarrow{B} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_0 \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{p} := \overrightarrow{A}, \ \overrightarrow{q} := \overrightarrow{B} \}$$
(head)

[Bekič-Scott Rule] Given that $p_i \neq q_j$ and no q_i occurs freely in any A_j

$$A_0 \text{ where } \{ p := \left(B_0 \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{q} := \overrightarrow{B} \} \right), \ \overrightarrow{p} := \overrightarrow{A} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_0 \text{ where } \{ p := B_0, \overrightarrow{q} := \overrightarrow{B}, \ \overrightarrow{p} := \overrightarrow{A} \}$$
(B-S)

[Recursion-Application Rule] Given that no p_i occurs freely in B,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{p} := \overrightarrow{A} \} \end{pmatrix} (B)$$

$$\Rightarrow A_0(B) \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{p} := \overrightarrow{A} \}$$
(recap)

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lar

 γ *-Reduction Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics Algorithmic Equivalence Expressiveness of L_{ar}^{\lambda} / L_{r}^{\lambda}

Reduction Rules

(to be continued)

$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{[Application Rule]} & \mbox{Given that } B \in \Pr {\sf T} \mbox{ is a proper term, and } p \mbox{ is fresh,} \\ p \in \left[\mbox{RecV} - \left(\mbox{FV} \left(A(B) \right) \cup \mbox{BV} \left(A(B) \right) \right) \right], \end{array}$

$$A(B) \Rightarrow \left[A(p) \text{ where } \left\{ p \coloneqq B \right\}\right]$$
 (ap)

[λ and Quantifiers rules] Let $\xi \in \{\lambda, \exists, \forall\}$. Given fresh $p'_i \in [\operatorname{RecV} - (\operatorname{FV}(A) \cup \operatorname{BV}(A))]$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, for $A \equiv A_0$ where $\{p_1 := A_1, \ldots, p_n := A_n\}$ and replacements A'_i in (20):

$$A'_{i} \equiv \left[A_{i}\left\{p_{1} :\equiv p'_{1}(u), \dots, p_{n} :\equiv p'_{n}(u)\right\}\right]$$
(20)

$$\xi(u) \left(A_0 \text{ where } \{ p_1 \coloneqq A_1, \dots, p_n \coloneqq A_n \} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(u) A'_0 \text{ where } \{ p'_1 \coloneqq \lambda(u) A'_1, \dots, p'_n \coloneqq \lambda(u) A'_n \}$$

$$(\xi)$$

- each $R_i^{\tau_i} \in \text{Terms in } \overrightarrow{R}$ is immediate and $\tau_i \in \{t, \widetilde{t}\}$
- each $C_j^{\tau_j} \in \text{Terms}$ is proper and $\tau_j \in \{t, \tilde{t}\} \ (j = 1, \dots, m, \ m \ge 0)$

•
$$a_0, c_j \in \mathsf{RecV} \ (j = 1, \dots, m)$$
 fresh

(st1) Rule A_0 is an immediate term, $m \ge 1$

(st2) Rule A_0 is a proper term

$$(A_0 \text{ such that } \{ C_1, \dots, C_m, \overrightarrow{R} \}) \qquad (st2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_0 \text{ such that } \{ c_1, \dots, c_m, \overrightarrow{R} \})$$

where $\{ a_0 \coloneqq A_0, c_1 \coloneqq C_1, \dots, c_m \coloneqq C_m \}$

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lar.

γ^* -Reduction

 $\gamma *$ -Reduction Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics Algorithmic Equivalence Expressiveness of L_{ar}^{\lambda} / L_{r}^{\lambda}

stronger reduction

Definition (γ *-condition)

A term $A \in$ Terms satisfies the γ^* -condition for an assignment $p := \lambda(\overrightarrow{u}^{\sigma})\lambda(v^{\sigma})P^{\tau} : (\overrightarrow{\sigma} \to (\sigma \to \tau))$, with respect to $\lambda(v^{\sigma})$, iff A is of the form: (23a)–(23c):

$$A \equiv A_0 \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{a} := \overrightarrow{A},$$
 (23a)

$$p := \lambda(\overrightarrow{u})\lambda(v)P, \qquad (23b)$$

$$\overrightarrow{b} := \overrightarrow{B} \}$$
(23c)

such that the following holds:

• $v \notin \mathsf{FreeVars}(P)$

② All occurrences of p in A_0 , \overrightarrow{A} , and \overrightarrow{B} are occurrences:

- in $p(\overrightarrow{u})(v)$,
- which are in the scope of $\lambda(v)$ modulo renaming the variables \overrightarrow{u}, v

 $(\gamma^*)\text{-rule}$

$$A \equiv A_0 \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{a} := \overrightarrow{A},$$
 (24a)

$$p := \lambda(\overrightarrow{u})\lambda(v)P, \tag{24b}$$

$$\overrightarrow{b} := \overrightarrow{B} \}$$
(24c)

$$\Rightarrow_{(\gamma^*)} A'_0 \text{ where } \{ \overrightarrow{a} := \overrightarrow{A}',$$
(24d)

$$p' := \lambda(\overrightarrow{u})P, \tag{24e}$$

$$\overrightarrow{b} := \overrightarrow{B'} \}$$
(24f)

given that:

•
$$A \in \text{Terms satisfies the } \gamma^*\text{-condition (in Definition 3) for}$$

 $p := \lambda(\overrightarrow{u})\lambda(v)P : (\overrightarrow{\sigma} \to (\sigma \to \tau)), \text{ with respect to } \lambda(v)$
• $p' \in \text{RecV}_{(\overrightarrow{\sigma} \to \tau)}$ is a fresh recursion variable
• $\overrightarrow{X'} \equiv \overrightarrow{X} \{p(\overrightarrow{u})(v) :\equiv p'(\overrightarrow{u})\}$ is the result of the replacements
 $X_i \{p(\overrightarrow{u})(v) :\equiv p'(\overrightarrow{u})\},$
i.e., replacing all occurrences of $p(\overrightarrow{u})(v)$ by $p'(\overrightarrow{u})$, in all
corresponding parts $X_i \equiv A_i, X_i \equiv B_i$, in (24a)–(24f), modulo
renaming the variables \overrightarrow{u}, v

Theorem (γ^* -Canonical Form Theorem)

For each $A \in$ Terms, there is a unique up to congruence, γ^* -irreducible $cf_{\gamma^*}(A) \in$ Terms, *s.th.*:

• for some explicit, γ^* -irreducible $A_0, \ldots, A_n \in \text{Terms} (n \ge 0)$

$$\mathsf{cf}_{\gamma^*}(A) \equiv A_0$$
 where $\{p_1 := A_1, \dots, p_n := A_n\}$

$$A \Rightarrow^*_{\gamma^*} \mathsf{cf}_{\gamma^*}(A)$$

for every B, such that A ⇒^{*}_{γ*} B and B is γ*-irreducible, it holds that B ≡_c cf_{γ*}(A)
 i.e., cf_{γ*}(A) is unique, up to congruence

• Consts
$$(cf_{\gamma^*}(A)) = Consts(A)$$
 and

Solution FreeV(
$$cf_{\gamma^*}(A)$$
) = FreeV(A)

Proof.

The proof is by induction on term structure of A, (7a)–(7e), (7f), using reduction rules, definitions, and properties of reduction. The reduction rules and their applications do not remove and do not add any constants and free variables.

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L_{AT}^{λ} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L_{AT}^{λ}

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma*\text{-Reduction} \\ \textbf{Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics} \\ \text{Algorithmic Equivalence} \\ \text{Expressiveness of } \mathbf{L}_{ar}^{\lambda} \ / \ \mathbf{L}_{r}^{\lambda} \end{array}$

Algorithmic Semantics of $\mathrm{L}^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ar}}$ / L^{λ}_{r}

How is the algorithmic meaning / semantics of a proper (non-immediate) $A \in {\sf Terms}$ determined?

• For every term $A \in$ Terms, by the Canonical Form Theorem 4:

$$A \Rightarrow \mathsf{cf}(A)$$

$$A \Rightarrow_{\gamma^*} \mathsf{cf}_{\gamma^*}(A)$$

• For each proper (i.e., non-immediate) $A\in$ Terms, ${\rm cf}(A)\ /\ {\rm cf}_{\gamma^*}(A)$ determines the algorithm ${\rm alg}(A)$ for computing ${\rm den}(A)$

Theorem (Effective Reduction Calculi)

For every term $A \in \text{Terms}$, its canonical forms $\operatorname{cf}(A)$ and $\operatorname{cf}_{\gamma^*}(A)$ are effectively computed, by the extended reduction calculus.

 A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar
 γ*-Reductic

 Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^A₂,
 Yather Computational Semantics

 Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantics
 Parametric Algorithmic Patterns

 Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^A₂,
 Parametric Algorithmic Patterns

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma*\text{-Reduction} \\ \text{Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics} \\ \textbf{Algorithmic Equivalence} \\ \text{Expressiveness of } \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{Ar}}^{\lambda} / \mathbf{L}_{r}^{\lambda} \end{array}$

Definition (of Algorithmic Equivalence / Synonymy)

Two terms $A, B \in$ Terms are algorithmically equivalent, $A \approx B$, in a given semantic structure \mathfrak{A} , i.e., referentially synonymous in \mathfrak{A} , iff

- $\bullet \ A$ and B are both immediate, or
- A and B are both proper

and there are explicit, irreducible terms (of appropriate types), A_0 , …, $A_n,\ B_0,\ ...,\ B_n,\ n\geq 0,$ such that:

(a) for all
$$i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$$

(a) for every $x \in \mathsf{PureV} \cup \mathsf{RecV}$,

$$x \in \mathsf{FreeV}(A_i)$$
 iff $x \in \mathsf{FreeV}(B_i)$

 $log den(A_i) = den(B_i)$

(25)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^A_{AT} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^A_{AT}

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma*\text{-Reduction} \\ \text{Canonical Forms and Algorithmic Semantics} \\ \text{Algorithmic Equivalence} \\ \text{Expressiveness of } \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{Ar}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} / \mathbf{L}_{\boldsymbol{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \end{array}$

Type Theory $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ar}}^{\lambda}$ / L_{r}^{λ} is more expressive than Gallin TY2

Theorem (Moschovakis [13] 2006, §3.24

(mild adjustment))

• For any explicit (λ -calculus) $A \in$ Terms, there is no (assignment) memory location, bound via where in its canonical form, which occurs in more than one of its parts A_i ($0 \le i \le n$) of cf(A) / cf_{γ^*}(A)

 Assume that A ∈ Terms is such that an assignment location p ∈ RecV, bound via where in its canonical form cf(A) / cf_{γ*}(A), occurs in (at least) two assignment parts, and the denotations of those parts depend essentially on p: Then, there is no explicit (λ-calculus) term B ∈ Terms, such that B is algorithmically equivalent to A, B ≈ A, i.e., for all λ-calculus B ∈ Terms, B ≈ A.

The proof is by Moschovakis [13] (2006). I provide it for the extended ${\rm L}_{\rm ar}^\lambda$ / ${\rm L}_r^\lambda$

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lar

Pure Quantifiers

Generalised Quantifiers, Algorithmic Patterns, Ambiguity, Underspecificatior Definite Descriptors with Determiner "the" Conjuncts and Coordination

Reductions with Pure Quantifier Rules: Algorithmic Patterns and Instantiations

• Assume $cube, large_0 \in Consts_{(\widetilde{e} \to \widetilde{t})}$, in the typical Aristotelian form:

Some cube is large
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} B \equiv \exists x(cube(x) \land large_0(x))$$
 (26a)

$$B \Rightarrow \exists x ((c \land l) \text{ where } \{ c \coloneqq cube(x), l \coloneqq large_0(x) \})$$
(26b)

by $2 \times (ap)$ (ap-comp), (recap), (wh-comp), (head), (lq-comp)

$$\Rightarrow \exists x(c'(x) \land l'(x)) \text{ where } \{ (26c) \}$$

 B_0 algorithmic pattern

$$\underline{c' := \lambda(x)(cube(x)), \, l' := \lambda(x)(large_0(x))} \} \equiv \mathsf{cf}(B)$$
(26d)

instantiations of memory slots $c^\prime \text{, } l^\prime$

from (26c), by (
$$\xi$$
) to \exists

$$\approx \underbrace{\exists x(c'(x) \land l'(x))}_{B_0 \text{ algorithmic pattern}} \text{ where } \{ \underbrace{c' := cube, l' := large_0}_{\text{instantiations of memory slots } c', l'} \} \equiv B' \quad (26e)$$
by Def. 6 from (26c)–(26d), den($\lambda(x)(cube(x))$) = den($cube$),
den($\lambda(x)(large_0(x))$) = den($large_0$) (26f)

28 / 59

Some cube is large
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} T$$
, $large \in \text{Consts}_{((\tilde{e} \to \tilde{t}) \to (\tilde{e} \to \tilde{t}))}$ (27a)

$$T \equiv \exists x [cube(x) \land \underbrace{large(cube)(x)}_{\text{by predicate modification}}] \Rightarrow \dots$$
(27b)

$$\Rightarrow \exists x [(c_1 \land l) \text{ where } \{ c_1 \coloneqq cube(x),$$
(27c)

$$l := large(c_2)(x), c_2 := cube \}$$
(27d)

$$\Rightarrow \exists x(c'_1(x) \land l'(x)) \text{ where } \{ c'_1 \coloneqq \lambda(x)(cube(x)),$$

$$l' \coloneqq \lambda(x)(large(c'_2(x))(x)), c'_2 \coloneqq \lambda(x)cube \}$$
(27e)
(27f)

$$:= \lambda(x)(large(c'_2(x))(x)), c'_2 := \lambda(x)cube \}$$
(27f)

(27e)–(27f) is by (ξ) on (27c)–(27d) $\equiv cf(T)$

$$\Rightarrow_{\gamma^*} \exists x (c'_1(x) \land l'(x)) \text{ where } \{ c'_1 := \lambda(x)(cube(x)), \qquad (27g) \\ l' := \lambda(x)(larae(c_2)(x)), c_2 := cube \} \qquad (27h)$$

$$' := \lambda(x)(large(c_2)(x)), c_2 := cube \}$$
(27h)

$$\equiv \operatorname{cf}_{\gamma^*}(T) \approx \exists x (c'_1(x) \wedge l'(x)) \text{ where } \{ c'_1 := cube,$$
(27i)
$$l' := \lambda(x) (large(c_2)(x)), c_2 := cube \}$$
(27j)

Some cube is large
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} C$$
, $large \in \text{Consts}_{((\tilde{e} \to \tilde{t}) \to (\tilde{e} \to \tilde{t}))}$ (28a)
 $C \equiv \exists x [c'(x) \land large(c')(x)]$ where $\{c' := cube\}$ (28a)
 $\Rightarrow \exists x [(c'(x) \land l) \text{ where } \{l := large(c')(x)\}]$
 E_1 (28b)
where $\{c' := cube\}$
from (28a), by (ap) to \land of E_0 ; (lq-comp); (wh-comp)
 $\Rightarrow [\exists x (c'(x) \land l'(x)) \text{ where } \{l' := \lambda(x) (large(c')(x))\}]$
 E_2 (28c)
where $\{c' := cube\}$
from (28b), by (ξ) to \exists
 $\Rightarrow \exists x (c'(x) \land l'(x))$
 C_0 an algorithmic pattern
where $\{c' := cube, l' := \lambda(x) (large(c')(x))\}\} \equiv cf(C)$ (28d)
instantiations of memory c', l'
from (28c), by (head); (cong)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^A_{AT} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica **Parametric Algorithmic Patterns** Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^A_{AT}

Pure Quantifiers

Generalised Quantifiers, Algorithmic Patterns, Ambiguity, Underspecification Definite Descriptors with Determiner "the" Conjuncts and Coordination

Proposition

- The L_{ar}^{λ} -terms $C \approx cf(C)$ in (28a)–(28d), and many other L_{ar}^{λ} -terms, are not algorithmically equivalent to any explicit terms
- **2** L_{ar}^{λ} is a strict, proper extension of TY_2 , Gallin [4]
- ${f 0}$ and of a la Montague semantics via inclusion of Montague IL in ${
 m TY}_2$

Outline of a proof:

- (1) follows by Theorem 7
- (2) follows by Theorem 7, and (1)

(3) Gallin [4] provides an interpretation of Montague IL [14] into TY_2 .

Suitable interpretation can be given directly in L_{ar}^{λ} (L_{r}^{λ}) .

Placement of $L^{\lambda}_{\rm ar}$ in a class of type theories

Montague IL \subsetneq Gallin TY₂ \subsetneq Moschovakis $L_{ar}^{\lambda} \subsetneq$ Moschovakis L_{r}^{λ} (29)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L_{AT}^{3} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L_{AT}^{5}

9

Pure Quantifiers Generalised Quantifiers, Algorithmic Patterns, Ambiguity, Underspecification Definite Descriptors with Determiner "the" Conjuncts and Coordination

 $\text{Generalised Two-Argument Quantifiers: } \mathit{Q}: \left((\widetilde{e} \rightarrow \widetilde{t}) \rightarrow \left((\widetilde{e} \rightarrow \widetilde{t}) \rightarrow \widetilde{t} \right) \right)$

some, every
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} some, every \in \text{Consts}_{[(\tilde{e} \to \tilde{t}) \to ((\tilde{e} \to \tilde{t}) \to \tilde{t})]}$$
 (30)

$$[\mathsf{some}_{\mathrm{DET}} \ \mathsf{cube}_{\mathrm{N}}]_{\mathrm{NP}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{render}} some(cube) : ((\widetilde{\mathsf{e}} \to \widetilde{\mathsf{t}}) \to \widetilde{\mathsf{t}})$$
(31)

$$\Rightarrow_{\mathsf{cf}} \left[some(d) \text{ where } \left\{ d \coloneqq cube \right\} \right]$$
(32)

Some cube is large
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} A_0/A_1/A_2$$
 (options) (33a)

$$A_0 \equiv (some(cube))(large_0) : \tilde{t} \qquad \text{typical } \lambda \text{-term}$$
(33b)

$$\Rightarrow_{\mathsf{cf}} \underbrace{some(p_1)(p_2) \text{ where } \{p_1 \coloneqq cube, \ p_2 \coloneqq large_0\}}_{(33c)}$$

recursion term

$$A_1 \equiv some(p_1)(p_2) \text{ where } \{p_1 := cube, \ p_2 := large(p_1)\}$$
 (33d)

$$A_{2} \equiv \underbrace{Q(p_{1})(p_{2})}_{\text{alg. pattern}} \text{ where } \{\underbrace{Q := some, \ p_{1} := cube, \ p_{2} := large(p_{1})}_{\text{instantiations of memory}} \}$$

$$(33e)$$

Alternatives: Q := every, Q := one, Q := two, Q := most, etc. No explicit terms are algorithmically equivalent to A_1 and A_2 , by Th. 7.

 $[K \text{ [is [larger_{AD]} than }]$ (34a) $[some_{DET} number_N]_{NP}]_{ADJP}]_{VP}]_S \xrightarrow{render} A$ $A \equiv \left| \lambda y \left[[some(number)] \left(\lambda x_d \, larger(x_d)(y) \right) \right] \right| (K) \Rightarrow \dots$ (34b) $\Rightarrow \lambda(y_k) (some(d'(y_k))(h(y_k)))$ where $\{ d' := \lambda(y_k) number, \}$ (34c) $h := \lambda(y_k)\lambda(x_d) larger(x_d)(y_k) \} | (K)$ (34d) $\Rightarrow_{\mathsf{cf}} \mathsf{cf}(A) \equiv$ $\left|\lambda(y_k)\left(some\left(d'(y_k)\right)(h(y_k))\right)\right|(k)$ where (34e) $\{h := \lambda(y_k)\lambda(x_d) larger(x_d)(y_k),\$ $d' := \lambda(y_k) number, k := K \}$ $\Rightarrow_{\gamma^*} [\lambda(y_k) some(d)(h(y_k))](k)$ where $\{h := \lambda(y_k)\lambda(x_d) larger(x_d)(y_k),\$ (34f) d := number, k := K

$$[K \text{ [is } [larger_{ADJ} \text{ than}$$

$$[some_{DET} \text{ number}_N]_{NP}]_{ADJP}]_{VP}]_{S} \xrightarrow{\text{render}} A_3$$

$$A_3 \equiv \left[\lambda y_k [[Q(number)] (\lambda x_d \, larger(x_d)(y_k)) \text{ where} \{ \\ \lambda y_k [[Q(number)] (\lambda x_d \, larger(x_d)(y_k)) \text{ where} \} \right] (K) \Rightarrow \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\lambda (y_k) (Q(d'(y_k)) (h(y_k))) \text{ where} \\ \{ Q := some, \ d' := \lambda (y_k) number, \qquad (35c) \\ h := \lambda (y_k) \lambda (x_d) larger(x_d)(y_k) \} \right] (K)$$

$$\Rightarrow_{cf} cf(A) \equiv$$

$$\{ Q := some, \ h := \lambda (y_k) \lambda (x_d) larger(x_d)(y_k), \qquad (35e) \\ d' := \lambda (y_k) number, \ k := K \}$$

$$\Rightarrow_{\gamma^*} [\lambda (y_k) Q(d) (h(y_k))] (k) \text{ where} \\ \{ Q := some, \ h := \lambda (y_k) \lambda (x_d) larger(x_d)(y_k), \qquad (35f) \\ d := number, \ k := K \}$$

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L_{Ar}^{λ} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L_{Ar}^{λ}

Pure Quantifiers Generalised Quantifiers, Algorithmic Patterns, Ambiguity, Underspecification Definite Descriptors with Determiner "the" Conjuncts and Coordination

÷.

de dicto and de re renderings of quantifiers shared algorithmic pattern

Every cube is larger than some dodeca
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}}$$
 (de dicto)
 R_3 where $\{R_3 := every(p)(R_2),$ (36a)
 $R_2 := \lambda(x_2)some(b)(R_1(x_2)),$ (36b)
 $R_1 := \lambda(x_2)\lambda(x_1)larger(x_1)(x_2),$ (36c)
 $p := cube, b := dodeca\}$ (36d)

Every cube is larger than some dodeca
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}}$$
 (de re)
 R_3 where $\{R_3 := some(b)(R_1),$ (37a)
 $R_1 := \lambda(x_1)every(p)(R_2(x_1)),$ (37b)
 $R_2 := \lambda(x_1)\lambda(x_2)larger(x_1)(x_2),$ (37c)
 $p := cube, b := dodeca\}$ (37d)

de dicto and de re renderings of quantifiers: more explicit algorithmic pattern

de dicto term S_{21}

$$S_{21} \equiv R_3 \text{ where } \{ R_3 := Q_2(R_2),$$
 (38a)

$$R_2 := \lambda(x_2)Q_1(R_1^1(x_2)),$$
(38b)

$$R_1^1 := \lambda(x_2)\lambda(x_1)h(x_1)(x_2),$$
 (38c)

$$Q_1 := q_1(d_1), Q_2 := q_2(d_2),$$
 (38d)

$$q_2 := every, \, d_2 := cube, \tag{38e}$$

$$q_1 := some, \, d_1 := dodeca, \, h := larger \,\}$$
(38f)

de re term S_{12}

$$S_{12} \equiv R_3$$
 where $\{ R_3 := Q_1(R_1),$ (39a)

$$R_1 := \lambda(x_1) Q_2(R_2^1(x_1)),$$
(39b)

$$R_2^1 := \lambda(x_1)\lambda(x_2)h(x_1)(x_2), \tag{39c}$$

$$Q_1 := q_1(d_1), \ Q_2 := q_2(d_2),$$
 (39d)

$$q_2 := every, \, d_2 := cube, \tag{39e}$$

$$q_1 := some, \, d_1 := dodeca, \, h := larger \,\}$$
(39f)

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of LÅr Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Patterns Darametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NU via LÅ

Pure Quantifiers Generalised Quantifiers, Algorithmic Patterns, Ambiguity, Underspecification Definite Descriptors with Determiner "the" Conjuncts and Coordination

Constrained Underspecified Terms

$$U \equiv R_3 \text{ where } \{ l_1 := Q_1(R_1), l_2 := Q_2(R_2),$$
(40a)

$$Q_1 := q_1(d_1), Q_2 := q_2(d_2),$$
 (40b)

$$q_1 := some, \, q_2 := every, \tag{40c}$$

$$h := larger, d_1 := dodeca, d_2 := cube \}$$
(40d)

s.t. {
$$Q_i$$
 binds the *i*-th argument of h , (40e)
 R_3 binds (dominates) each Q_i ($i = 1, 2$) } (40f)

any of its specifications have to satisfy the constraints

de dicto rendering of the quantifiers after specification of the underspecified pattern U

U can be specified to *de dicto* term:

$$U_{21} \equiv R_3 \text{ where } \{ R_3 := l_2, l_2 := Q_2(R_2),$$
(41a)

$$R_2 := \lambda(x_2) l_1^1(x_2), \ l_1^1 := \lambda(x_2) Q_1(R_1^1(x_2)), \quad \text{(41b)}$$

$$R_1^1 := \lambda(x_2)\lambda(x_1)h(x_1)(x_2),$$
(41c)

$$Q_1 := q_1(d_1), Q_2 := q_2(d_2),$$
 (41d)

$$q_2 := every, \, d_2 := cube, \tag{41e}$$

$$q_1 := some, \, d_1 := dodeca, \, h := larger \,\}$$
(41f)

 U_{21} can be simplified to the similar, not algorithmically synonymous term:

$$S_{21} \equiv R_3 \text{ where } \{ R_3 := Q_2(R_2),$$
(42a)

$$R_2 := \lambda(x_2)Q_1(R_1^1(x_2)),$$
(42b)

$$R_1^1 := \lambda(x_2)\lambda(x_1)h(x_1)(x_2),$$
(42c)

$$Q_1 := q_1(d_1), Q_2 := q_2(d_2),$$
 (42d)

$$q_2 := every, \, d_2 := cube, \tag{42e}$$

$$q_1 := some, \, d_1 := dodeca, \, h := larger \,\}$$
(42f)

de re rendering of the quantifiers after specification of the underspecified pattern U

U can be specified to the *de re* term:

$$U_{12} \equiv R_3 \text{ where } \{ R_3 := l_1, l_1 := Q_1(R_1),$$
(43a)

$$R_1 := \lambda(x_1) l_2^1(x_1), \ l_2^1 := \lambda(x_1) Q_2(R_2^1(x_1)), \quad \text{(43b)}$$

$$R_2^1 := \lambda(x_1)\lambda(x_2)h(x_1)(x_2),$$
(43c)

$$Q_1 := q_1(d_1), Q_2 := q_2(d_2),$$
 (43d)

$$q_2 := every, \, d_2 := cube, \tag{43e}$$

$$q_1 := some, \, d_1 := dodeca, \, h := larger \,\}$$
(43f)

 U_{12} can be simplified to the similar, not algorithmically synonymous term:

$$S_{12} \equiv R_3 \text{ where } \{ R_3 := Q_1(R_1),$$
(44a)

$$R_1 := \lambda(x_1) Q_2(R_2^1(x_1)),$$
(44b)

$$R_2^1 := \lambda(x_1)\lambda(x_2)h(x_1)(x_2),$$
(44c)

$$Q_1 := q_1(d_1), Q_2 := q_2(d_2),$$
 (44d)

$$q_2 := every, \, d_2 := cube, \tag{44e}$$

$$q_1 := some, \, d_1 := dodeca, \, h := larger \,\}$$
(44f)

Logical Forms of Definite Descriptions with the Determiner "the"

$$\Phi \equiv \text{The cube is large}$$
 (45)

• First Order Logic (FOL) A

$$\Phi \xrightarrow{\text{render}} A \equiv \exists x [\forall y(cube(y) \leftrightarrow x = y) \land isLarge(x)]$$
(46a)
$$S \equiv \exists x [\forall y(P(y) \leftrightarrow x = y) \land Q(x)]$$
(46b)
$$uniqueness$$

In FOL, A in (46a) has the following features:

- Existential quantification as the direct, topmost predication
- Uniqueness of the existing entity
- There is no referential force to the object denoted by the descriptor NP: $[{\rm the}\ {\rm cube}]_{\rm NP}$
- There is no compositional analysis, i.e., no "derivation", of A from the components of Φ

• Higher Order Logic (HOL): Henkin (1950) and Mostowski (1957) a significant, positive step; but lost referential force

the
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} T \equiv [\lambda P \lambda Q [\exists x [\forall y (P(y) \leftrightarrow x = y)] \land Q(x)]]]$$
 (47a)
uniqueness

the cube $\xrightarrow{\text{render}} C \equiv T(cube)$

$$C \equiv \left[\lambda P \lambda Q \left[\exists x [\forall y (P(y) \leftrightarrow x = y)] \land Q(x) \right] \right] (cube)$$
(47b)

$$\models D \equiv \lambda Q \left[\exists x \left[\forall y (cube(y) \leftrightarrow x = y) \land Q(x) \right] \right]$$
(47c)

uniqueness

(fr. (47b) by $\beta\text{-reduction})$

$$\Phi \equiv \text{The cube is large} \xrightarrow{\text{render}} B \equiv D(isLarge)$$
(48a)

$$B \equiv \left[\lambda Q \left[\exists x \left[\forall y (cube(y) \leftrightarrow x = y) \\ uniqueness \right] \land Q(x) \right] \right] (isLarge)$$
(48b)

$$\models \exists x [\forall y(cube(y) \leftrightarrow x = y) \land isLarge(x)]$$
(48c)

uniqueness

(fr. (48b) by β -reduction)

Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L_{ar}^{λ} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns

Definite Descriptors with Determiner "the"

Example: rendering of the definite article "the"

• Rendering the definite article "the" to a constant:

the
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}}$$
 $the \in \text{Consts}_{((\widetilde{e} \to \widetilde{t}) \to \widetilde{e})}$ (49)

• together with the following denotation of the constant *the*, requiring "uniqueness" of the denoted object:

$$\left[\left(\operatorname{den}(the)\right)(g)\right](\bar{p})(s_0) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } y \text{ is the unique } y \in \mathbb{T}_{e}, \\ & \text{for which } \bar{p}(s \mapsto y)(s_0) = 1 \\ \text{er, otherwise} & (50) \\ & \text{i.e., there is no unique entity} \\ & \text{that has the property } \bar{p} \text{ in } s_0 \end{cases}$$

for every $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{T}_{(\tilde{e} \to \tilde{t})}$ and every $s_0 \in \mathbb{T}_s$

There are other possibilities for rendering the definite article "the", e.g., see Loukanova [10].

Option 1

Outline

 Outline
 Outline

 A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar
 Pure Quantifiers

 Syntax and Denotational Semantics of LAR
 Generalised Quantifiers

 Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantics
 Parametric Algorithmic Patterns, Ambiguity, Underspecification

 Parametric Algorithmic Patterns
 Computational Syntax Semantics of NL via LAR

 Computational Syntax Semantics of NL via LAR
 Conjuncts and Coordination

Option 3: the definite determiner "the" and descriptors:

Underspecification

We can render "the" to A_1 or $cf(A_1)$, underspecified for p:

the
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} A_1 \equiv (q \text{ s.t. } \{ unique(p)(q) \}) : \tilde{e}$$
 (51a)

the
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}}$$
 cf $(A_1) \equiv (q \text{ s.t. } \{U\})$ where $\{U := unique(p)(q)\}$ (51b)

$$p \in \operatorname{RecV}_{(\widetilde{e} \to \widetilde{t})}, \quad q \in \operatorname{RecV}_{\widetilde{e}}$$
 (51c)

 q is the object, whoever it turns to be, by having the property unique(p), by unique(p)(q)

the cube
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}}$$
 cf (A_2) : \widetilde{e} (52a)

$$A_2 \equiv (q \text{ s.t. } \{ unique(p)(q) \}) \text{ where } \{ p := cube \}$$
 (52b)

$$\Rightarrow_{\mathsf{cf}} \mathsf{cf}(A_2) \equiv (q \text{ s.t. } \{U\}) \text{ where } \{U := unique(p)(q), \\ p := cube \}$$
(52c)

by (st1), (head), from (52b)

The cube is large
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} \operatorname{cf}(A_3) : \check{t}$$
 (53a)
 $A_3 \equiv large(p)((q \text{ s.t. } \{unique(p)(q)\}) \text{ where } \{p := cube\})$ (53b)
 $\Rightarrow large(p)(Q) \text{ where } \{Q := [(q \text{ s.t. } \{unique(p)(q)\}) \text{ where } \{p := cube\}]\}$ (53c)
by (ap), from (53b)
 $\Rightarrow_{cf} \operatorname{cf}(A_3) \equiv large(p)(Q) \text{ where } \{Q := (q \text{ s.t. } \{U\}), U := unique(p)(q), p := cube\}$ (53d)

by (st1), (wh-comp), (B-S), from (52c), (53c)

Algorithmic Pattern: definite descriptors in predicative statements: Opt3

$$A \equiv L(Q) \text{ where } \{ Q := (q \text{ s.t. } \{ U \}), U := unique(p)(q) \}$$
(54a)
$$p, q, L \in \mathsf{FreeV}(A), \ p \in \mathsf{RecV}_{(\widetilde{e} \to \widetilde{t})}, \ q \in \mathsf{RecV}_{\widetilde{e}},$$
(54b)

$$Q \in \mathsf{RecV}_{\tilde{\mathsf{e}}}, \ U \in \mathsf{RecV}_{\tilde{\mathsf{t}}}, \ L \in \mathsf{RecV}_{(\tilde{\mathsf{e}} \to \tilde{\mathsf{t}})}$$
(54c)

The number n is odd
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} \operatorname{cf}(A_4) : \widetilde{\operatorname{t}}$$
 (55a)
 $A_4 \equiv isOdd\left(\left(q \text{ s.t. } \{unique(N)(q), p(q)\}\right) \text{ where } \{q := n, p := number, N := named-n\}\right)$
 $\Rightarrow_{\operatorname{cf}} \operatorname{cf}(A_4) \equiv isOdd(Q) \text{ where } \{Q := (q \text{ s.t. } \{U, C\}), U := unique(N)(q), C := p(q), (55c)$
 $q := n, p := number, N := named-n\}$

• direct reference, by assignment; uniqueness and existence are consequences

The number n is large
$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} \operatorname{cf}(A_5) : \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$$
 (56a)
 $A_5 \equiv isOdd((q \text{ s.t. } \{p(q)\}) \text{ where } \{$
 $q := n, p := number \})$
 $\Rightarrow_{\mathsf{cf}} isOdd(Q) \text{ where } \{Q := (q \text{ s.t. } \{C\}), C := p(q),$
 $q := n, p := number \}$ (56c)

Predication via Coordination: e.g., a class of coordinated Vs, VPs, etc.

$$[\Phi_j]_{\rm NP} \left[[\Theta_L \text{ and } \Psi_H] [W_w]_{\rm NP} \right]_{\rm VP}$$
(57a)

$$\xrightarrow{\text{render}} \underbrace{\lambda x_j \left[\lambda y_w \left(L(x_j)(y_w) \wedge H(x_j)(y_w) \right)(w) \right](j)}_{\checkmark} \tag{57b}$$

algorithmic pattern with memory parameters L, H, w, j

[The cube]_j [is larger than and is next to [[its]_j predecessor]_w] $\xrightarrow{\text{render}} A$ (58)

$$A \equiv \lambda x_j \left[\lambda y_w \left(larger(y_w)(x_j) \land nextTo(y_w)(x_j) \right) \\ (predecessor(x_j)) \right] (the(cube))$$
(59a)

$$\Rightarrow_{\gamma^*} \underbrace{\lambda x_j \left[\lambda y_w \left(L''(x_j)(y_w) \wedge H''(x_j)(y_w) \right) (w'(x_j)) \right](j)}_{(59b)}$$

algorithmic pattern with memory parameters $L^{\prime\prime}$, $H^{\prime\prime}$, w^\prime , j

where {
$$L'' := \lambda x_j \lambda y_w \ larger(y_w)(x_j),$$
 (59c)
 $H'' := \lambda x_j \lambda y_w \ next To(y_w)(x_j),$
 $\underline{w' := \lambda x_j \ predecessor(x_j), \ j := the(c), \ c := cube}_{instantiations \ of \ memory \ L'', \ H'', \ w', \ j}$

- The sentence (60a)–(60b) is a conjunction of propositions, i.e., propositional conjunction
- The computational semantics of (60a)–(60b) can be represented by cf $_{\gamma^*}(B),$ in (61a)–(61b):

$$[The cube]_j \text{ is larger than } [[its]_j \text{ predecessor}]_w$$
(60a)
and $[it]_j \text{ is next to } [it]_w \xrightarrow{\text{render}} B$ (60b)

$$B \equiv \begin{bmatrix} larger(w)(j) \land nextTo(w)(j) \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \{ \\ j \coloneqq the(cube), w \coloneqq predecessor(j) \} \end{cases}$$
(61a)
$$\Rightarrow_{cf_{\gamma^*}} \begin{bmatrix} L \land H \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \{L \coloneqq larger(w)(j), H \coloneqq nextTo(w)(j), \\ w \coloneqq predecessor(j), \\ j \coloneqq the(c), c \coloneqq cube \} \end{cases}$$
(61b)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of LA Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via LA

Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL by using $L_{\mathrm{ar}}^{\lambda}$ in GCBLG

For syntax-semantics interfaces of Natural Language (NL), I employ:

- Generalised Constraint-Based Lexicalized Grammar (GCBLG), see [7] GCBLG covers a variety of computational grammars, by representing major, common syntactic characteristics of a class of approaches to computational grammar, e.g.:
 - Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar (HPSG) [3]
 - Lexical Functional Grammar (LFG) [1]
 - Categorial Grammar (CG) [2, 11]
 - Grammatical Framework (GF) [5] (tentatively)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via La

Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL by using $L^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ar}}$ in GCBLG

Generalised Constraint-Based Lexicalized Grammar (GCBLG) covers major syntactic categories of natural language, by linguistically motivated generalizations.

- The syntactic information is distributed among a hierarchy of types
- typed feature-value descriptions: Feature-Value Logics; Attribute-Value (ATV) Matrices
- The semantic representation in syntax-semantics composition and interface, is by the feature ${\rm SEM}$ and its recursive values

 $_{\rm SEM}$ has typed values that encode recursion terms of $L_{\rm ar}^{\lambda},$ alternatively, of DTTSitInfo

• Efficient and effective, computational rendering of NL expressions to γ^* -canonical forms, see Loukanova [6, 9, 8]

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lar Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lor

Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL by using L_{ar}^{λ} in GCBLG

Computational Grammar with Syntax-Semantics and Underspecification

For a given NL expression ϕ , its grammar analysis Φ , includes syntax-semantics interface, throughout its constituents

$$\Phi \xrightarrow{\text{render}} A \equiv \mathsf{cf}_{\gamma}(A) \tag{62}$$



A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lat Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lo

Motivation for Type Theory $L_{\mathrm{ar}}^{\lambda}$ and Outlook

- L^{λ}_{ar} provides Computational Semantics with:
 - greater semantic distinctions than type-theoretic semantics by $\lambda\text{-calculi, e.g., Montagovian grammars}$
- $L^{\lambda}_{\rm ar}$ provides Parametric Algorithms Parameters can be instantiated depending on:
 - classes and sets of specific names, NPs, verbs, properties, relations, etc.
 - representing major semantic ambiguities and underspecification [6], at the object level of its formal language, without meta-language variables
- L_{ar}^{λ} with logical operators and pure quantifiers can be used for:
 - proof-theoretic computational semantics and reasoning
 - inferences of semantic information
 - Canonical forms can be used by automatic provers and proof assistants

Looking Forward!

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of LA Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via LA

Outlook1: Development of Computational Theories and Applications

- Generalised Computational Grammar: CompSynSem interfaces in NL, HL (human language)
 - Hierarchical lexicon with morphological structure and lexical rules
 - Syntax of NL expressions (phrasal and grammatical dependences)
 - Syntax-semantics inter-relations in lexicon and phrases
- A Big Picture simplified and approximated, but realistic:

Algorithmic CompSynSem of Human Language (HL)

$$\mathsf{HL}\;\mathsf{Syn} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{L}^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ar}}/\operatorname{L}^{\lambda}_{r}/SitI \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Reduction Calc}} \mathsf{Canonical \; Forms} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{Denotations}$$

Canonical Computations

(Canonically) Algorithmic CompSynSem Interfaces

(I've done quite a lot of it, but still a lot to do!)

Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^{Ar} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^A

Outlook2: Applications to Human / Natural Language Processing (NLP)

Translations via Algorithmic Syntax-Semantics Interfaces (CompSynSem) Human Languages, Ontologies, and $L_{\rm ar}^\lambda$ / Sitl

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Lexicon of } \mathsf{L}_0 & \Longleftrightarrow & \mbox{Syn of } \mathsf{L}_0 & \xleftarrow{}^{\mbox{render}^{-1}} & \mathsf{L}^\lambda_{\mathrm{ar}} \,/ \mbox{Sitl Canonical Terms} \\ & & \downarrow \uparrow \\ & & \mbox{possible} \end{array}$$

A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^A_{AT} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^A_{AT}

Some References I

- Bresnan, J.: Lexical-Functional Syntax. Blackwell Publishers, Oxford (2001)
 - Buszkowski, W.: Mathematical Linguistics and Proof Theory.
 In: J. van Benthem, A. ter Meulen (eds.) Handbook of Logic and Language, pp. 683-736. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1997).
 DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-044481714-3/50016-3.
 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ B9780444817143500163

DELPH-IN: Deep Linguistic Processing with HPSG (DELPH-IN) (2018, edited). URL http://moin.delph-in.net. Accessed 20-Aug-2023 Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^A_{AT} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^A_A

Some References II

Gallin, D.: Intensional and Higher-Order Modal Logic: With Applications to Montague Semantics. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam and Oxford, and American Elsevier Publishing Company (1975). URL https://doi.org/10.2307/2271880

- The Grammatical Framework GF. http://www.grammaticalframework.org. Accessed 20-Aug-2023

Loukanova, R.: Relationships between Specified and Underspecified Quantification by the Theory of Acyclic Recursion. ADCAIJ: Advances in Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence Journal **5**(4), 19–42 (2016). DOI 10.14201/ADCAIJ201654. URL https://doi.org/10.14201/ADCAIJ2016541942 Outline A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of L^A_{AT} Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via L^A_{AT}

Some References III



Loukanova, R.: An Approach to Functional Formal Models of Constraint-Based Lexicalized Grammar (CBLG). Fundamenta Informaticae **152**(4), 341–372 (2017). DOI 10.3233/FI-2017-1524. URL https://doi.org/10.3233/FI-2017-1524

 Loukanova, R.: Gamma-Reduction in Type Theory of Acyclic Recursion.
 Fundamenta Informaticae 170(4), 367–411 (2019).
 URL https://doi.org/10.3233/FI-2019-1867 A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lat Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via Lat

Some References IV

Loukanova, R.: Gamma-Star Canonical Forms in the Type-Theory of Acyclic algorithms.

In: J. van den Herik, A.P. Rocha (eds.) Agents and Artificial Intelligence, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 11352, pp. 383–407. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2019). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05453-3_18

 Loukanova, R.: Restricted Computations and Parameters in Type-Theory of Acyclic Recursion.
 ADCAIJ: Advances in Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence Journal 12(1), 1–40 (2023).
 URL https://doi.org/10.14201/adcaij.29081 A Glimpse of Approaches to Formal and Computational Grammar Syntax and Denotational Semantics of Lat-Reduction Calculi, Canonical Forms, and Algorithmic Semantica Parametric Algorithmic Patterns Computational Syntax-Semantics of NL via LA

Some References V

- Moortgat, M.: Categorial Type Logics.
 In: J. van Benthem, A. ter Meulen (eds.) Handbook of Logic and Language, pp. 93–177. Elsevier, Amsterdam (1997).
 URL https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-044481714-3/50005-9
- Moschovakis, Y.N.: The formal language of recursion. Journal of Symbolic Logic 54(4), 1216–1252 (1989). URL https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022481200041086

Moschovakis, Y.N.: A Logical Calculus of Meaning and Synonymy. Linguistics and Philosophy **29**(1), 27–89 (2006). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10988-005-6920-7

 Thomason, R.H. (ed.): Formal Philosophy: Selected Papers of Richard Montague.
 Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut (1974)