

Coordinating large formalization projects

Patrick Massot

Université Paris-Saclay

September 14th 2025

Some history

The odd order theorem

Some history

Formalized a very well understood result.



The odd order theorem

Some history

Formalized a very well understood result.



Small core team around Georges Gonthier.

Before anything like Zulip or GitHub.

Used svn, emails, physical meetings.

The odd order theorem

Some history

Formalized a very well understood result.



Small core team around Georges Gonthier.

Before anything like Zulip or GitHub.

Used svn, emails, physical meetings.

Rocq was even called Coq at that time.

Flyspeck (sphere packing in 3D)

Some history

Required major refactoring of the paper proof.

Book *Dense Sphere Packings: A Blueprint for Formal Proofs*

Flyspeck (sphere packing in 3D)

Some history

Required major refactoring of the paper proof.

Book *Dense Sphere Packings: A Blueprint for Formal Proofs*

Use mostly HOL Light, and some Isabelle/HOL

700 lemmas got cash bounties. About ten people got some.

Tom Hales trained a lot of people, esp. in Vietnam, during the project.

- Perfectoid spaces project
- Sphere eversion project
- Liquid tensor experiment
- ...

↪ Lean Blueprint

Lean blueprints

- *Sphere eversion project*
- *Liquid tensor experiment*
- *Unit fractions*
- *Fermat's last theorem for regular primes*
- *Arithmetic Progressions - Almost Periodicity*
- *Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa Conjecture*
- *Lower bounds for hypothesis testing based on information theory*
- *New Foundations is consistent*
- *Prime number theorem and...*
- *Fermat's Last Theorem for 3*

Projects using Lean Blueprint

Lean blueprints

- *Fermat's last theorem*
- *Carleson Operators on Doubling Metric Measure Spaces*
- *Infinity Cosmos*
- *Analytic Number Theory Exponent Database*
- *Equational Theories*
- *HoTTLean*
- *Sphere packing in Lean*
- *Localic Caratheodory extensions*
- *Banach Tarski*
- *Bourgain extractor in Lean*
- *Semi circle Law*
- *Seymour*
- *STIR verification*
- *Central limit theorem*

- *Brownian motion*
- *Formally Verified Arguments of Knowledge*
- *Chandra Furst Lipton*
- *Cambridge combinatorics*
- *Toric varieties in Lean*
- *Iwasawa theory in Lean*
- *Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$*
- *Exceptional set in the abc conjecture*
- *Analysis in Bonn*
- *Extreme Value Distribution Project*
- *Formal proofs from the book*
- *Spectral theorem*

Three main aspects:

- Preparing the mathematical content
- Managing progress and distributing work
- Dynamically refining mathematical content

Main principles from the beginning:

- Source should be ordinary TeX files
 - easy to copy–paste from existing sources
 - allow contributions by anyone, using any editor
 - pdf version for free

Main principles from the beginning:

- Source should be ordinary TeX files
- Result should include links to Lean declarations (and check they exist)
- Result should make clear what are the next targets
- Get at least a crude approximation of a bilingual text.

Let's see what it looks like *in source* and *the result*.

Debate 1: Put everything in one file?

Lean blueprints

- PROs: no switching, people addicted to copilot feel safer
- CONs: harder for non-formal contributors, editor support is very weak
- used in Prime Number Theorem+ project. A Python script by Ian Jauslin extracts TeX files from Lean files.

See an example.

- Huge loss of flexibility and precisions
- Experience suggests the `\uses` overhead is negligible
- We could still implement warnings when a `\ref` has no `\uses`

Debate 3: Where to put discussions?

Lean blueprints

- Each item can link to a GitHub issue
- Zulip chat or similar
- We could try a commenting framework like Discourse
- Finding the right balance is tricky

Debate 4: What about huge projects?

Lean blueprints

Fermat Last Theorem is too big for a single dependency graph.

This was already true for the Liquid tensor experiment (used two graphs).

Better solution is WIP.

Debate 5: Why using LaTeX in 2025?

Lean blueprints

- *Typst* did not exist in 2020

- *Typst* did not exist in 2020
- HTML export is not yet good enough, and may never become good enough.

- *Typst* did not exist in 2020
- HTML export is not yet good enough, and may never become good enough.
- Most mathematicians still use LaTeX.

LeanBlueprint implementation stack:

Lean blueprints

- *plasTeX*: python LaTeX compiler with intermediate representation and plugin system

LeanBlueprint implementation stack:

Lean blueprints

- *plasTeX*: python LaTeX compiler with intermediate representation and plugin system
- *plasTeXdepgraph*: plasTeX plugin, \uses macro, draws the graph, infrastructure to customize the graph

LeanBlueprint implementation stack:

Lean blueprints

- *plasTeX*: python LaTeX compiler with intermediate representation and plugin system
- *plasTeXdepgraph*: plasTeX plugin, \uses macro, draws the graph, infrastructure to customize the graph
- *leanblueprint*: plasTeX plugin, \lean, \leanok, links to Lean declarations. Also contains command line client to setup project (including CI) and compile.

LeanBlueprint implementation stack:

Lean blueprints

- *plasTeX*: python LaTeX compiler with intermediate representation and plugin system
- *plasTeXdepgraph*: plasTeX plugin, \uses macro, draws the graph, infrastructure to customize the graph
- *leanblueprint*: plasTeX plugin, \lean, \leanok, links to Lean declarations. Also contains command line client to setup project (including CI) and compile.
- *checkdecl*: tiny Lean library to allowing to check that declarations exist to avoid dead links.

LeanBlueprint implementation stack:

Lean blueprints

- *plasTeX*: python LaTeX compiler with intermediate representation and plugin system
- *plasTeXdepgraph*: plasTeX plugin, \uses macro, draws the graph, infrastructure to customize the graph
- *leanblueprint*: plasTeX plugin, \lean, \leanok, links to Lean declarations. Also contains command line client to setup project (including CI) and compile.
- *checkdecl*: tiny Lean library to allowing to check that declarations exist to avoid dead links.

First three items are python+JS. First two have nothing to do with Lean. Third item is Lean-specific but very easy to adapt. Only the fourth item contains Lean code.

Typical project life cycle

Setting up new projects

Typical project life cycle

GitHub template by Pietro Monticone

leanblueprint command line interface

It is crucial to have someone cutting the project in pieces, stating results and reviewing contributions.

Easy proofs are also easy to parallelize and distribute. They play a major role in onboarding and training.

Popular Zulip threads: outstanding tasks (*example*)

GitHub projects managed by *GitHub workflows*.

The infamous *for_mathlib* folder issue.

Upstreaming dashboard can help a bit.

Maintenance after project completion is difficult.

The infamous *for_mathlib* folder issue.

Upstreaming dashboard can help a bit.

Maintenance after project completion is difficult.

Key trick: miraculous appearance of *maintainers*.

Future directions

Lean Focussed Research Organization since July 2023

5-year mission to improve Lean and reach long-term self-sustainability.

Huge work to coordinate Lean and Mathlib modifications.

Reduce technical debt inherited esp. from Lean 3 \rightarrow Lean 4.

GitHub actions being worked on (CI, Lean version update...)

Downstream project reports

From year 3 roadmap:

“Literate Programming & Next-Generation UX

Verso will be enhanced to support both PDF extraction and interactive website generation. A new DSL—LaTeX-inspired and tailored for Lean—will be prototyped to support scientific writing.”

Announced on July 24th

\$5M donated by Alex Gerko for first two years

One goal is to “work on scaling the infrastructure to support an ecosystem of math libraries depending on Mathlib”.