

# Initial Semantics for Polymorphic Type Systems

Benedikt Ahrens



jww Ambroise Lafont

and Thomas Lamiaux



EuroProofNet WG6 Meeting 2024

2024-04-04

# Summary

## Goals

1. Develop a notion of signature, and of model of a signature, for **polymorphic** type systems.
  - ↪ category of models
2. Find sufficient conditions for a signature to have initial model
  - ↪ the **syntax** generated by the signature

## Motivation

- Obtain recursion principle from initiality
- Specify well-behaved translations between languages

# Outline

- 1 Review of Untyped and Simply-Typed Initial Semantics
- 2 Polymorphic Type Systems

# Overview of Initial Semantics

## Signatures

Language constructors are specified by a notion of signature

## Models of Signatures

- Substitution is modelled by **monoid** structure in suitable monoidal category
- Constructors are modelled by some notion of **algebra**
- Interplay between constructors and substitution governed by some mathematical structure

## Construction of Syntax

- Syntax is constructed via a suitable colimit construction
- Substitution is constructed via (categorical) recursion scheme

## Functorial syntax — syntax with explicit contexts

```
Inductive LC (X : Set) : Set
| Var : X -> LC X
| App : LC X -> LC X -> LC X
| Abs : LC (X + 1) -> LC X
```

- Well-scoped lambda terms as a functor

$$\text{LC} : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$
$$\text{LC}(\Gamma) := \{\text{set of lambda terms in context } \Gamma\}$$

- Constructors are natural transformations

$$\text{App} : \text{LC} \times \text{LC} \rightarrow \text{LC}$$
$$\text{Abs} : \text{LC}^* \rightarrow \text{LC}$$

with  $\text{LC}^*(X) := \text{LC}(X + \mathbf{1})$

## Simply-Typed Syntax

- Fix a set  $T$  of types, e.g., for STLC:

$$T ::= B \mid T_1 \Rightarrow T_2$$

- Simply-typed syntax with set  $T$  of types:

$$\text{STLC} : \text{Set}^T \rightarrow \text{Set}^T$$

with constructors

$$\text{App}_{s,t} : \text{STLC}_{s \Rightarrow t} \rightarrow \text{STLC}_s \rightarrow \text{STLC}_t$$

$$\text{Abs}_{s,t} : \text{STLC}_t^s \rightarrow \text{STLC}_{s \Rightarrow t}$$

## Monads/Monoids for Substitution

- Variables embed into terms

$$\text{Var} : \Gamma \rightarrow T(\Gamma)$$

- Substitution

$$\text{subst} : (\Delta \rightarrow T(\Gamma)) \rightarrow (T(\Delta) \rightarrow T(\Gamma))$$

or

$$\mu : (T \circ T)(\Gamma) \rightarrow T(\Gamma)$$

gives structure of monad to  $T$

- Besides  $([\text{Set}, \text{Set}], \circ)$ , can consider different monoidal categories and monoids therein, e.g.,  $([\mathbb{F}, \text{Set}], \circ)$ ,  $([\text{Set}^T, \text{Set}^T], \circ)$

In short

Syntax with substitution is monoid in a suitable monoidal category

## Constructors and Interplay with Substitution

- Language constructors commute, in a suitable sense, with substitution, e.g.,

$$\text{subst}(f)(\text{App}(M, N)) = \text{App}(\text{subst}(f)(M), \text{subst}(f)(N))$$

$$\text{subst}(f)(\text{Abs}(M)) = \text{Abs}(\text{subst}(\uparrow f)(M))$$

- Expressed by saying that

$$\text{App} : \text{LC} \times \text{LC} \rightarrow \text{LC}$$

$$\text{Abs} : \text{LC}^* \rightarrow \text{LC}$$

are **morphisms of modules**

### In short

Module morphisms = natural transformations + commutativity with substitution



# Signatures and Models

## Definition (Signature $\Sigma$ and Model of $\Sigma$ )

$$\int_{T:\text{Monad}} \text{Module}(T)$$
$$\downarrow \Big)_{\Sigma}$$
$$\text{Monad}$$

A model  $M$  of  $\Sigma$  is a monad  $T$  and a  $T$ -module morphism

$$\Sigma(T) \xrightarrow{M} T$$

## Example (Lambda calculus)

$$\Sigma_{\text{LC}} : M \mapsto M \times M + M^*$$

A model of  $\Sigma_{\text{LC}}$  is a monad  $M$  together with two module morphisms

$$\text{App} : M \times M \rightarrow M$$

$$\text{Abs} : M^* \rightarrow M$$

# Initial Semantics and Translations

## Definition (Syntax generated by a signature)

The **syntax** generated by  $\Sigma$  is the initial model, if it exists.

- Not all signatures admit a syntax
- Suitable subcategories of signatures that do admit syntax can be identified

## Well-behaved translations via initiality

- Translation from a language  $S$  to another  $T$  can be specified by equipping  $T$  with the structure of model for  $S$
- Resulting translation commutes with substitution by construction
- Extensions to include equations between terms and reductions (operational semantics)

# Outline

1 Review of Untyped and Simply-Typed Initial Semantics

2 Polymorphic Type Systems

# System F

## Types of System F

$$\tau ::= x \mid B \mid \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \mid \forall x. \tau$$

or, in terms of an untyped signature as before,

$$M \mapsto B + M \times M + M^*$$

## Terms of System F

$$t ::= x \mid \lambda x. t \mid t_1. t_2 \mid \Lambda \alpha. t \mid t. \sigma$$

# Syntax as a Functor, à la Hamana

## Types

Have a(n untyped) language  $T : [\mathbb{F}, \text{Set}] \rightarrow [\mathbb{F}, \text{Set}]$  for types

Define

$$G : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$$

$$G(n) := (\mathbb{F} \downarrow T(n)) \times T(n)$$

The category  $\int G$  has

- objects  $n \mid \Gamma \vdash \tau$ , with  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{F} \downarrow T(n)$  and  $\tau \in T(n)$
- arrows  $(\rho, \pi)$  with
  - $\rho : m \rightarrow n$  such that  $T(\rho)(\tau) = \sigma$  and
  - $\pi : (\mathbb{F} \downarrow T(\rho))(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Delta$  in  $\mathbb{F} \downarrow T(n)$ .

## Terms

Terms are given by a functor  $\int G \rightarrow \text{Set}$

## A More Intuitive(?) View on Syntax

- Replace  $\mathbb{F}$  by  $\text{Set}$
- 

$$\begin{aligned} & [\prod^n \text{Set} \downarrow T(n) \times T(n), \text{Set}] \\ & \simeq \prod_n [\text{Set} \downarrow T(n) \times T(n), \text{Set}] \\ & \simeq \prod_n [\text{Set}^{T(n)}, \text{Set}^{T(n)}] \end{aligned}$$

- This category has a simple, “point-wise” monoidal product

# The signature of System F

## Models of System F

- Take  $T := T_F : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  to be initial monad generated by the signature for types.
- Models of System F are monoids in  $\mathbb{f}_h[\text{Set}^{T(n)}, \text{Set}^{T(n)}]$  + some module morphisms for constructors

Signature of System F is, as before, a section to a forgetful functor

$$\begin{array}{c} \int_{T:\text{Monoid}} \text{Module}(T) \\ \downarrow \left. \vphantom{\int} \right)_{\Sigma} \\ \text{Monoid}(\mathbb{f}_h[\text{Set}^{T(n)}, \text{Set}^{T(n)}]) \end{array}$$

obtained as the sum of several signatures.

## Signatures for Constructors of System F

$$\frac{n + 1 \mid \text{wk}(\Gamma) \vdash t : A}{n \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda t : \forall A}$$

is specified by the module

$$\Lambda(M) := \text{Set}^{T(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}(\text{wk})} \text{Set}^{T(n+1)} \xrightarrow{M_{n+1}} \text{Set}^{T(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}(\Lambda)} \text{Set}^{T(n)}$$

$$\frac{n \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \forall \tau \quad n \mid \Gamma \vdash A}{n \mid \Gamma \vdash t.A : \tau[A]}$$

is specified by the module

$$\text{App}(M) := \text{Set}^{T(n)} \xrightarrow{M_n} \text{Set}^{T(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_p} \text{Set}^{T(n+1) \times T(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}(\text{subst})} \text{Set}^{T(n)}$$



# Signature and Models for System F

## Definition

$$\int_{T:\text{Monoid}} \text{Module}(T)$$
$$\downarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{) } \end{array} \right\} \Lambda + \text{App} + \dots$$
$$\text{Monoid}(\prod_n [\text{Set}^{T(n)}, \text{Set}^{T(n)}])$$

## Definition

A model of System F in a monoid  $M$  is a module morphism

$$\Lambda(M) + \text{App}(M) + \dots \rightarrow M$$

## Conclusion

- Work in progress on a novel approach towards initial semantics for polymorphic type systems
- Advantages (?) compared to Hamana's approach:
  - simpler monoidal structure
  - easier to formalize (cf. Dima's talk this morning)
- Next steps:
  - Study general signatures; in particular, identify sufficient criteria for a signature to yield a syntax
  - More complicated systems such as System  $F\omega$ .

## Conclusion

- Work in progress on a novel approach towards initial semantics for polymorphic type systems
- Advantages (?) compared to Hamana's approach:
  - simpler monoidal structure
  - easier to formalize (cf. Dima's talk this morning)
- Next steps:
  - Study general signatures; in particular, identify sufficient criteria for a signature to yield a syntax
  - More complicated systems such as System  $F\omega$ .

Thanks for your attention!

## Some References

- Marcelo Fiore and Makoto Hamana, Multiversal Polymorphic Algebraic Theories, LICS 2013, pp. 520-529, <https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~mpf23/papers/Algebra/mpat.pdf>
- Makoto Hamana, Polymorphic Abstract Syntax via Grothendieck Construction, FoSSaCS 2011, pp. 381-395, [https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-642-19805-2\\_26.pdf](https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-642-19805-2_26.pdf)
- Thomas Lamiaux and Benedikt Ahrens, An Introduction to Different Approaches to Initial Semantics, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.09366>